

# Insecurity: A Cause And a Product of Conflict, Towards the Need for Independent Indigenous CSOs and Economic Hardship

#### Ibrahim Jibrin GANI<sup>a</sup>, Aisha Ibrahim NINGI<sup>b</sup>, Abba Bala IBRAHIM<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of History and International Studies, Federal University, Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria, <u>jibrinibrahimgani1@gmail.com</u>, <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5635-421X</u> <sup>b</sup>Department of History, Yobe State University Damaturu, Yobe State, Nigeria

Indoningi2@yahoo.com, http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6750-8771

<sup>c</sup>Department of History and International Studies, Federal University Gashua, Yobe State, Nigeria, <u>abbabala63@gmail.com</u>, <u>http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4117-7868</u>

#### Abstract

This paper explores the human security and economic hardship in the North-East after more than a decade long conflict with the insurgents. The paper unravelled that communities and towns do not have to flee even though successes were made largely as most villages and homes. It also discovered that people are still suffering from the consequences of the conflict economically and socially. If the inequities that gave rise to it are left unaddressed, there is the likelihood of a relapse into conflict. Therefore, it is recommended that the government should improve its work to provide security for the people. The formation of independent indigenous civil society organisations is paramount to checkmate the activities of the government. The approach employed PLS-SEM and tested two hypotheses for clear prediction and assumption as met. The CSOs can become important actors in reducing violence and facilitating the conditions necessary for building sustainable peace. However, such indigenous CSOs must shift focus and strengthen their abilities to mobilise expertise and resources from their domestic constituencies and reduce the excessive dependency on foreign donors. They must also reject any international support that resembles negative external imposition.

#### Keywords

Insecurity, Conflict, Northeast, CSOs, Economic hardship

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The choice of the above topic is borne out of the fact that the Northeast and indeed Yobe state are flashpoints of Boko Haram insurgency, which gave rise to conflicts and violent extremism. It is, therefore, believed that in a society that pays scant attention to the safety of its people, society is at risk of being forgotten by history. For this reason, one would commence by stressing that in conflict situations, security is the most critical issue and no other considerations come close to it in importance. It is equally believed that a discussion on governance and post-conflict in the Northeast cannot - should not - take place without a clear focus on pre-conflict prevention in our society. So, as individuals and groups, we need to focus on insecurity. Addressing the issue frankly will be critical for our governments to improve their work towards enhancing human security. Besides, if

there can be no security in a state of conflict, then the conflict can be best avoided by enhancing human security to prevent conflict (Collins, 2000).

As rightly observed by the former United Nations Secretary-General late Kofi Anan, 'there can be no security without development, no development without security, and none of the above without human rights' (Anan, 2012). This goes to show that in a state of insecurity - when most men have been mysteriously killed and have still been killed, school children have been butchered, when there are still men and child soldiers who were forcefully conscripted to serve as guerrilla fighters, when girls and women have been forcibly abducted and married to the extremist fighters, internally displaced persons (IDPs), women widowed by the insurgency, escapees with 'unwanted babies or pregnancies', refugees and their families, and sporadic killings and gunshots. One cannot but say that talk of anything else is a luxury. Thus, even on a narrow understanding of security – halting the fighting and doing more to effectively protect those caught up in it is critical (Pouligny, 2005).

## 1.1. Causes of Conflict

In the same vein, the roots of insecurity must be addressed in any attempt to build a viable future and retain peace. For instance, if pervasive poverty and intolerance are widespread, corruption becomes the norm in the society; absence of justice is glaring; if the state monopoly of the legitimate use of force is undermined by unscrupulous elements; the prevalence of divisive ideology; if unaccountable and poorly managed police threaten the population; the military or intelligence units; if the proliferation and misuse of small arms are not curbed; if the rule of law disappears; the rule of force is supreme; if victims remain unassisted; extremists repentant are not properly reintegrated and deradicalised, the perpetrators of violence not prosecuted, then peace will remain elusive, and the relapse into conflict becomes all the more likely (Peter and Reily, 1998). For these reasons, the government must, as a matter of necessity, prioritise and act on essential elements that would enhance security not only in the Northeast but the entire country in general. As Pouligny (2005) further puts it, these essential elements that would enhance peace include, among others, security-related issues such as security sector reform, dialogue and reintegration, countering violent extremism, promoting support for gender and social inclusion, promoting religious tolerance, and demobilisation (Ibrahim, 2018).

Similarly, even the establishment of the North-East Development Commission may seem to stand out as a watershed commitment by the federal government. However, how this responsibility would be exercised will go a long way to answering many of our collective concerns regarding human security in the Northeast. But let's be clear: will the commission's establishment provide much assistance for those caught in the fighting. After all, when borrowing from the words of John Pepper Clark, causalities' are not only those who are dead or wounded; we are all casualties. Impliedly, the question that readily comes to mind is how will the government respond to protect the population and future generations from those massive and illegal attacks on physical security that so often accompany conflict?

It is on record that there exist carefully articulated standards as the legal duties of the state and the federal government David (2002), which addresses every aspect of security, e.g. rights to necessities of life as food, clothing and shelter; right to live; right to physical integrity, to education, to health, to justice, rights to political participation, not to be discriminated against, not to be tortured etc. As Amartya Sen (1999) puts it, all these are individuals' entitlements and not merely an aspiration.

These entitlements foster human development and provide a sense of direction for individuals to secure a life they have reason to value (Berge, and Collins, 2000). The denial will have very real significance for the security of those who are subject to the denial. That's why they need independent indigenous CSOs comes, as they will play a watchdog role which serves as a check on the power of the government by providing feedback on its performances and a partnership role together with the government on policy implementation.

- H1 Civil Society Organisation has positive effect on Conflict and Economic hardship
- H2 Cultural organization has positive effect on conflict and Economic hardship

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The desktop analysis and structural equation modeling were used in the study for better outcome. Out of 250 questionnaires sent, only 200 were returned, and approximately 80per cent were considered a good response. However, 30 per cent of the responses were from universities in North-East, 40 per cent from Civil society and 30% from the cultural organisation. Most of the respondents in this study were attached to a group, institution or organisation. Structural equation modelling was used to analyse the proposed hypotheses. In particular, the partial least squares technique (PLS-SEM), a variance-based structural equation modelling technique, was applied.

## 3. RESULT

## Need For Independent Indigenous Civil Society Organisations in the Northeast:

It is important to point out that the insecurity in the Northeast and economic downfall of system that tremendously affected the presence of many CSOs, otherwise referred to as NGOs. They have been active in addressing some of the social and economic problems in society (David, 2002). However, their effectiveness in bringing about real change has been questioned due to varying factors, including increasing public distrust and uncertainty about their relevance and legitimacy. In addition, most of those presently on the ground are often seen as implementers of foreign policy agendas, and everything they do revolves around project timelines (Cox, 2008). Against this backdrop, the formation of independent indigenous CSOs with clear mandates that are responsive to the circumstances on the ground is necessary. Such CSOs, by their very nature, must be independent of direct government control and management. Their presence and initiatives will play essential roles in bringing about sustained transitions. Understanding the Concept of CSOs: Undoubtedly, Paffenholz (2009) describes CSOs as an arena of human sociability. The possibility of hope and change resides; it creates an intellectual and political opening where different actors can criticise and practically address contemporary social problems. Moreover, most scholars John (2008), Edward (2004), Kaldor (2003), and David (2002) have all agreed that CSOs are complex and interconnected networks of individuals and groups drawn from rich histories of associational relationships and interactions.

These independent indigenous CSOs, if formed, can include a wide range of actors as trade unions, community-based organisations, faith-based associations, women and youth organisations, employees associations, cooperatives and self-help initiatives, cultural organisations, etc. Thus, they can also facilitate and advocate for policies and actions that represent their constituents' needs. Besides, they present a group of individual members who voluntarily form an association to promote the population's well-being in helping it resolve its problems, defend its rights (economic, social and political), its culture, and the environment (David, 2002).

Constructs	Item	Loadings	AVE	CR	Alpha Cronbach	
Civil Society Organisa	itions					
	CSO 1	0.925	0.865	0.852	0.800	
	CSO 2	0.953				
	CSO 3	0.798				
	CSO 4	0.772				
	CSO 5	0.876				
Cultural Organisation						
	CO 1	0.865	0.807	0.814	0.819	
	CO 2	0.789				
	CO 3	0.698				
	CO 4	0.895				
	CO 5	0.786				
Conflict						
	CF 1	0.867	0.820	0.820 0.823	0.791	
	CF 2	0.951				
	CF 3	0.765				
	CF 4	0.711				
	CF 5	0.806				

Table 1. Perception of Model Measurement

The above represent the factor loading, AVE, CR and Cronbach Alpha

The loadings were perfectly loaded, and items were also considered. The AVE indicates a good loading, as indicated by (Kline 2007). The benchmark of the CR should be 0.7 and above, and all CR have met the predictions. The same with Cronbach Alpha, which moves in line with CR, and their benchmark was the same at 0.7.

			2	•	U	
H	<b>Relationship B/W</b>	В	SE	t-value	р	Decision
H1	CSO -> Conflict	0.225	0.067	3.358	0.000	Supported
H2	CO -> Conflict	0322	0.079	4.076	0.000	Supported

Table 2. Hypotheses Testing

As shown in Table 2, two proposed hypotheses were supported. Civil society organization was found to significantly influence conflict with  $\beta$  = 0.225, and p <.05); therefore, H1 was supported. At the same time, Cultural organization has ( $\beta$  = 0.322, p < .05), which was found to affect conflict significantly and therefore supported H2.

Variable	(CSO)	(CO)	(CF)
Civil Society Organization	0.000		
Cultural Organization	0.894	0.000	
Conflict	0.671	0.782	0.000

The relationship between the variables are perfectively correlated which the Civil Society Organisation and Cultural Organisation are correlated with conflict at the level of 0.894, 0.671 and 0.782 respective.

### 4. CONCLUSION

In concluding the above treatise, one cannot but say that the complex nature of insecurity gave rise to conflict in the Northeast and economic hardship. Likewise, the inability of the government to provide human security feeds violence and violent extremism, which did not remain confined within the region. As a result, the area in particular and the country, in general, is going through a period that is crucial to its future. So, the contribution of all stakeholders, including CSOs, needed to overcome the situation has been explored. It is hoped that they can play an important supportive role in the policy formulation process if formed. But these cannot be achieved without a deep formation of independent indigenous civil society(s) capable of monitoring the government's actions and providing feedback for assessment.

### **5. RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that let there be a system of government that is transparent and predictable in which the legal responsibilities and obligations are put in place. This requires not simply the establishment of commission and committees. It, however, requires: A sound system to ensure social, economic and political inclusion. It also requires an independent, effective, and professional judicial system, together with legal protections for personal safety and freedom. It requires the creation of a professional police force. It requires the government to eradicate poverty within the rural populace, make education accessible and qualitative to all irrespective of sex, and strive harder to provide qualitative manpower to drive the process of change, end gender inequities, and do more to strengthen democratic systems of governance. That is why it is important to establish independent indigenous CSOs that are responsive to the yearnings and aspirations of the ordinary people, who will pressure the government to live up to its expectation. Similarly, these independent indigenous CSOs must serve as watchdogs to checkmate the infiltration of NGOs with questionable missions and must also pressure the government to legislate on the infusion of some staggering issues that I referred to as 'neo-colonial agenda' alien to the culture and beliefs of our people, e.g. same-sex marriages. Also, such independent indigenous CSOs have to start working now. They must not wait until violence erupts, then they look forward to foreign donors to incorporate them as Adhoc staff or volunteers. We need collective commitment to make these things happen.

### REFERENCES

- Anan, K. (2012). Girls Education Project: Gender Equity in Education by 2005, *Gender Review* UNESCO, 1(1): 312-351.
- Aisha. N. (2017). Seeking a Different Path, International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 6 (9), 112-115.
- Berge, Z. L., & Collins, M. P. (2000). Perceptions of e-moderators about their roles and functions in moderating electronic mailing lists. *Distance Education*, 21(1), 81-100.
- Collins, S. (2000). Watching the wind: *conflict resolution during South Africa's transition to democracy*. (1<sup>st</sup> edition) New Africa Books.
- Cox, M. (2008). The Paradox of Social Capital: Fuelling Conflict and Building Peace through Trust and Networks. *London*: Routledge.
- David, C. (2008). Peace. A History of Movements and Ideas. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Edwards, M. (2004). The role of civil society organisations in regulating business. London: Centre for Analysis of Risk and Regulation, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- John, H. (2008). "Unpacking the Liberal Peace: The Dividing and Merging of Peacebuilding Discourses." Millennium: *Journal of International Studies*. 36(3), 597-621.
- Kaldor, M. (2003). Global Civil Society: An Answer to War. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- David, L. (2002). "Civil Society in African Contexts. Reflections on the Usefulness of a Concept." *Development and Change*. 33(4), 569-586.
- Paffenholz, T. (2009). Civil Society and Peacebuilding: A Critical Assessment. Boulder,CO: *Lynne Rienner Publishers*.
- Paul, J. (1997). Building Peace. Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies. Washington, DC: *United States Institute of Peace Press.*
- Peter, H. and Reilly, B. (1998). Democracy and Deep-Rooted Conflict: Options for Negotiators. International IDEA Handbook Series. Stockholm (1<sup>st</sup> edition); International IDEA.
- Pouligny, B. (2005). "Civil Society and Post-Conflict Peacebuilding: Ambiguities of International Programmes Aimed at Building 'New' Societies." *Security Dialogue*. 36(4), 495-510.
- Sen, A. (1999). Development as Freedom, NY: (1<sup>st</sup> edition), Knopf Publishers.